

TITLE	Health & Wellbeing Being Dashboard
FOR CONSIDERATION BY	Health & Wellbeing Board on 12 October 2017
WARD	None Specific
DIRECTOR/ KEY OFFICER	Judith Ramsden, Director of People Services

Reason for consideration by Health and Wellbeing Board	To agree a definite list of health and wellbeing KPIs, in order to monitor performance and identify service gaps.
Relevant Health and Wellbeing Strategy Priority	Enabling and empowering resilient communities. Promoting and supporting good mental health. Reducing health inequalities. Delivering person-centred integrated services.
What (if any) public engagement has been carried out?	A Carer's survey has been undertaken, although no specific engagement with the public has been undertaken on the dashboard.
State the financial implications of the decision	The KPIs will assist in identifying service gaps, need and best practice and enable better allocation of resources and enhanced service procurement.

OUTCOME / BENEFITS TO THE COMMUNITY

The KPIs dashboard will assist in monitoring performance in key areas that underpin the Health and Wellbeing Strategy priorities. They will enable more effective targeting of groups of population/persons in higher need, and will assist in supplying more equitable and value-added services to everyone. They will aid in highlighting and minimising inequalities and ill-health, and maximising well-being, both mental and physical and improve resilience.

RECOMMENDATION

To revise the current proposed list of KPIs Health and Wellbeing Dashboard Draft in terms of suitability and data availability, and agree on a final list and frequency of data updates.

The KPIs will need to be representative of the key priority areas in the borough and based on key deliverables.

Each KPI will need to be sensibly selected and should be accompanied by metadata.

There are currently 44 proposed KPIs.

SUMMARY OF REPORT

A final list of HWB KPIs needs to be agreed and signed off by all key stakeholders. The KPIs will monitor outcomes in all key priority areas in the borough. Each KPI will be accompanied by metadata that will include: the KPI full title and definition, the key priority area/s that it monitors, the data source, the statistical method used, the time period of the data, how frequently the data is updated and any concerns with the data.

Background

There is a need to monitor local performance using a standard set of KPIs that will be agreed and signed off by the HWB board. Public Health came up with 44 proposed KPIs that underpin the four HWB priority areas. All key stakeholders will need to agree whether the list is sufficient and if they need to add any other indicators that are important for a specific priority area.

Analysis of Issues

Key issues of the dashboard include:

- Benchmarking
- SOA level data
- Mental health indicators
- Life expectancy indicators
- Data update frequency and continuity
- Assess the need for a population health and wellbeing survey (a sample of 10% of the representative population by gender, age, ethnicity, and socio-economic background) to provide baseline data on wellbeing in the Borough.

Benchmarking: Consideration will need to be given to whether Wokingham should be compared with other areas. Such as the Berkshire UAs and England. The ONS statistical neighbours are not so relevant in terms of comparing local priority needs.

Super Output Area level data: Not all the data will be available by SOAs, but if it is possible to analyse it by smaller areas then this needs to be factored in, especially for those indicators on Priority Area 4 'Delivering person-centred integrated services'.

Mental Health indicators: These are not very well defined. Some of the proposed indicators that are marked as PA 2 are not directly relevant to Mental Health. Each Priority Area should ideally have its own distinct set of performance indicators.

Life expectancy indicators: These indicators are too broad and not updated frequently.

Data updates frequency and continuity: This will need to be monitored on the metadata.

Local survey: Draft survey and consider engaging researchers to identify the 10% representative population in Wokingham and run the survey on our behalf.

Partner Implications
The preparation of the dashboard and the discussion arising from the presentation of data are likely to impact on the following: Commissioning Services; Service Providers; Board Members and Key Stakeholders; HWB Strategy priorities.

Reasons for considering the report in Part 2
N/A

List of Background Papers
HWB dashboard Draft; Carers Survey docs; HWB Strategy; HWB Strategy Action Plan; JSNA

Contact Darrell Gale	Service Public Health
Telephone No 0118 908 8293	Email Darrell.gale@wokingham.gov.uk
Date 10/10/2017	Version No. 2